ST. MARY'S COUNTY GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS & TRANSPORTATION



Director



COMMISSIONERS OF ST. MARY'S COUNTY James R. Guy, President Michael R. Alderson, Jr., Commissioner Eric S. Colvin, Commissioner Michael L. Hewitt, Commissioner Scott R. Ostrow, Commissioner

MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 30, 2024

TO: Jessica S.B. Andritz, *Director*, Department of Land Use & Growth Management

FROM: Jesse J. Harper, *Engineer III*, Department of Public Works & Transportation

SUBJECT: <u>CSP23-0265 Honda & Kia Dealership, Adequate Public Facilities (APF) Review</u> Agent: Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc., c/o Mike Lenhart Owner: CMA Properties, Inc.

This Department has reviewed the APF Report Form and Traffic Impact Study for the referenced project and we find it is acceptable.

- The project is located within the Lexington Park Development District and generates more than 50 peak hour trips; therefore, a traffic impact study is required and the level-of-service (LOS) which needs to be met is a LOS 'D'.
- Attached are copies of the Adequate Public Facility Report form as received with the certification signed and dated November 8, 2022, and a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) prepared by Lenhart Traffic Consulting, LLC, and dated April 25, 2024.
- The site entrance is proposed to have two right-in right-out access points onto Maryland State Route 235 (MD 235), between Maryland State Route 4 (MD 4) and First Colony Boulevard.
- In the attached TIA the intersection identified as Maryland State Route 235 (MD 235) @ First Colony Boulevard / Old Pine Court is misidentified and should read MD 235 @ First Colony Boulevard / California Boulevard.
- The intersection of MD 235 @ First Colony Boulevard / California Boulevard, and MD 235 @ Site Accesses currently operate at acceptable levels of service.
- The intersection of MD 4 @ MD 235 is projected to operate at an "E" level of service during the AM peak period and an "F" level of service during the PM peak. The project increase in traffic does not change the LOS of these intersections.
- The developer realizes that there are known deficiencies at the intersection of MD 4 @ MD 235 and has proffered a fee-in-lieu payment in the amount of \$18,500.00 to go towards the MD Route 235 at FDR Boulevard Intersection, California project which was identified in the Letter to the Secretary of Transportation Maryland Department of Transportation dated March 28, 2023, see attached. We realize that the improvements to the intersection will not occur prior to the development of the proposed site. For this reason, concurrence with LUGM and SHA will be required for this proposed mitigation.

• The developer will be responsible for access and road improvements as required by the State Highway Administration (SHA), and the road improvements should be operational before the certificate of occupancy is granted.

It is trusted that the above will assist in making the APF determination for this development. If you have any questions regarding this memo, please do not hesitate to contact this Department.

Jesse J. Harper, Engineer III JMG Jesse.Harper@stmaryscountymd.gov

Attachments

cc: Jonathan Makhlouf, Regional Engineer, District 5 Access Management, MDOT SHA Patt Mudd, Mudd Engineering, LLC Mike Lenhart, Lenhart Traffic Consulting, Inc. S:UHarpertComment Letters TrafficVAPF ReviewsVAPF Memo CSP23-0265 Honda & Kia Dealership.doc

Adequate Public Facilities Report to Department of Public Works & Transportation

lame of Development	CMAP Auto Dealership	Date <u>11/8/22</u>
.U&GM Case File No.	CSP 23-0265	Checked By J. HARPER JMS

1. In accordance with Article 7 of the St. Mary's County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance, public roads within this development will be designed and constructed in accordance with the St. Mary's County Road Ordinance, and shall adequately accommodate vehicular traffic projected by this Department.

The Development must be served by roads which have a Level of Service "D" in development districts or Level of Service "C" in all other areas.

List existing roads and intersections that are directly affected by the proposed Development. Include from the point of first egress from and ingress to the proposed Development to the intersection with the first County collector road or State road in all directions.

2. This project is Inside / outside (circle one) the Development District.

3. Describe Existing Geometry, Road Conditions, ADT, PHV, LOS and Existing Speed Limit.

Existing Road(s)	Lane Width	Shoulder Width & Type	ADT	PHV	LOS	Existing Conditions
MD 235	+/- 127'	+/- 6' - Paved	52,781	5,278	F	45 MPH
MD 4	+/1 78'	Variable Width - Paved	23,563	2,356	F	50 MPH

 If direct residential access to a public road is proposed, existing # lots & dwellings currently served by the access road

5. Additional # lots or units proposed

L

- 6. Size of commercial/industrial building
- 7. Projected Zoning Ordinance or ITE trip generation rates

8. Specify independent variable used in computing ITE trip generation rates

9. Proposed ADT: 1,031 Trips Proposed PHV: AM=69 / PM=88

10. Specify proposed/future improvements to the public facility:

Access to the proposed site is via two right-in/right-out access points along MD 235. If needed, improvements will be identified in the TIA.

See attached exhibits for location of site, study intersections, detailed trip generation, trip assignment, and ADT analysis.

11. CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the data shown hereon is correct, existing conditions are as stated, and projected traffic volumes will not lower the Level of Service below an acceptable Level of Service after development.

Signed: C. Nicholas Driban

Date: November 8, 2022

= N/A

= 37,025 SF - Auto Dealership

_ AM=1.86, PM=1.81+20.91, Daily=27.84

- Gross Floor Area [sf] - ITE Rates

Proposed LOS: TBD

22 of 55

TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

FOR

CMAP CAR DEALERSHIP

Prepared by:

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.

TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & TRANSPORTATION PLANNING



April 25, 2024

Table of Contents

Section 1		Introduction	4
	1.1	Project Description	
	1.2	Scope of Study	
Section 2		Existing Conditions	7
	2.1	Description of Roadway Network	
	2.2	Lane Configurations	
	2.3	Existing Traffic Counts	
Section 3		Background Conditions	10
	3.1	Annual Growth	
	3.2	Background Developments	
	3.2	Background Peak Hour Volumes	
Section 4		Projected Conditions with Site	13
	4.1	Site Trip Generation	
	4.2	Site Trip Distribution & Trip Assignment	
	4.3	Total Peak Hour Volumes	
	4.4	Projected Level of Service	
	4.5	MDOT SHA 95 th Percentile Queuing Analysis	
	4.6	Recommended Mitigation	
Section 5		Conclusions / Recommendations	24
	5.1	Results of Analysis	

Appendices

- A Supplemental Info, Turning Movement Counts
- B Level of Service (LOS) Worksheets
- C Background Developments
- D Diversions Due to Signalization of MD 235 & FDR Boulevard

List of Exhibits			
Exhibit 1	Site Location Map	6	
Exhibit 2	Lane Use & Traffic Control Devices	8	
Exhibit 3	Existing Peak Hour Volumes	9	
Exhibit 4	Base Peak Hour Volumes	11	
Exhibit 5	Background Peak Hour Volumes	12	
Exhibit 6	Trip Generation for Site	16	
Exhibit 7a	Inbound Trip Assignment for Site	17	
Exhibit 7b	Outbound Trip Assignment for Site	18	
Exhibit 8	Total Peak Hour Volumes	19	
Exhibit 9a	Results of CLV LOS Analyses	20	
Exhibit 9b	Results of HCM LOS Analyses	21	
Exhibit 10a	MDOT SHA 95 th Percentile Queuing Analyses - Bkgrd	22	
Exhibit 10b	MDOT SHA 95 th Percentile Queuing Analyses - Total	23	

Section 1 Introduction

1.1 Project Description

This Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) has been prepared for the proposed CMAP Car Dealership to be located on the north side of MD 235, just east of the intersection with MD 4, in Lexington Park, Maryland. The location of the site is shown on **Exhibit 1**. The development is proposed to 47,538 square feet of automobile dealership space.

Access to the site is proposed with two right-in/right-out only access points along MD 235, between MD 4 and First Colony boulevard. A concept site plan has been included in Appendix A.

1.2 Scope of Study

This TIA has been prepared in accordance with the St. Mary's County Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance as detailed in the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance (CZO). The CZO establishes the scope, methods, and thresholds for determining the adequacy of public facilities.

The study intersections included in this report were determined based on the guidance of the CZO, which states that the first intersection with a County- or Statemaintained collector or arterial roadway in all directions should be included.

Section 70.7.3 establishes the Standards for Level of Service for roadways, stating "service levels shall be defined by the minimum level of service (LOS, as computed per the critical lane analysis method) for intersection capacity for developments in base zoning districts within planning districts designated in the Comprehensive Plan." Schedule 70.7.3, below, provides the allowable LOS for each base zoning district.

Base Zoning District	Comprehensive Plan District	Peak Hour
Residential Districts	Development Districts	LOS D
	Town Centers and Village Centers	LOS C
Commercial and Mixed Use Districts	Development Districts	LOS D
	Town Centers and Village Centers	LOS C
Industrial and Office Districts	Development Districts	LOS D
	Town Centers and Village Centers	LOC C
Rural Districts and Commercial Marine Districts	Rural Preservation District	LOS C

Schedule 70.7.3: Allowable Lo	evels of Service
-------------------------------	------------------

The proposed development is located within the Lexington Park Development District where the allowable level of service is LOS "D" or better.

Section 70.7.4 details the additional analyses that should be included in a Traffic Impact Analysis, beyond the required adequacy evaluation described above, and includes the following:

- A link capacity analysis shall be performed on the major public roadways within the study area where the traffic signal spacing exceeds two miles.
- An unsignalized analysis shall be utilized at intersections not programmed to be signalized at the time of the study. The result of the analysis shall be to determine proper lane usage at the intersection, and the need for traffic signal warrant analysis.
- A traffic signal warrant analysis shall be performed when appropriate using standard methodologies and criteria.
- Estimated queue lengths will be calculated to check the adequacy of the length of all turn lanes at each intersection.

It should be noted that the analyses described in Section 70.7.4 are not used in the determination of adequate public facilities, but rather to provide additional detail on the operation of the roadways and intersections within the study area.

20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%	Per 70.7.2.a. of the Zoning Ordinance. Determining Adequacy: Roads shall be considered accommodate traffic projected to be generated by Service levels shall be met from the first p and ingress to the proposed developmen intersection with the first county or State road or State road in all directions from the Road Classifications: • MD 235 is a State-Maintained Arterial • MD 235 is a State-Maintained Arterial • Inst Colony Blvd is a County-Maintained Arterial • First Colony Blvd is a County-Maintained Arterial • MD 4 is a State-Maintained Arterial • First Colony Blvd is a County-Maintained Arterial • First Colony Blvd is a County-Maintained Arterial • Study Intersections: • MD 235 & Site Access (Right- 3. MD 235 & Site Access (Right- 3. MD 235 & Site Access (Right- 4. MD 235 & First Colony Blvd	the development if: points of egress from t to and including the collector or arterial he development.
Traffic Impact Analysis Image: state	Site Location Map	Exhibit 1

Section 2 Existing Conditions

2.1 Description of Roadway Network

The key road in the study area is MD 235. MD 235 is a six to eight-lane roadway with an east-west orientation through the study network. The posted speed limit is 45 MPH in the vicinity of the site.

2.2 Lane Configurations

The Lane Use & Traffic Control Devices are shown on Exhibit 2.

2.3 Existing Traffic Counts

Peak hour turning movement counts were conducted and the subsequent existing peak hour traffic volumes are shown on **Exhibit 3**.





Section 3 Background Conditions

3.1 Annual Growth

A growth rate of 1% was applied to the existing peak hour volumes for three years based on the guidance of St. Mary's County Staff for other projects located within the vicinity of this development. The resulting base peak hour volumes are shown on **Exhibit 4.**

3.2 Background Developments

The following background developments were identified for other projects located nearby within the vicinity development:

- First Colony Apartments (CSP21-0202)
- Magic Tunnel Carwash (CSP21-0147)
- Old Rolling Road Apartments (CSP22-0099)
- Tidal Wave Car Wash
- Riverside Townhomes

The analyses of the background developments are included in Appendix C. Exhibit C-8 provides the combined trip assignment for all background developments.

3.3 Background Peak Hour Volumes

The trips associated with the background development (Exhibit C-8) were combined with the base peak hour volumes (Exhibit 4) to obtain the background peak hour volumes, shown on **Exhibit 5**.





Section 4 Projected Conditions with Site

4.1 Site Trip Generation

Exhibit 6 shows the trip generation for the site. The trip generation rates were obtained from ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition.

4.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The inbound and outbound trip distributions and assignments are shown on **Exhibits 7a and 7b**, respectively.

4.3 Total Peak Hour Volumes

The total peak hour volumes are shown on **Exhibit 8.**

4.4 **Projected Level of Service**

Exhibit 9a shows the results of the Critical Lane Volume (CLV) analyses, as required to determine the adequacy of the study intersections per the St. Mary's County CZO. The results of the CLV analyses indicate that the intersection of MD 235 & MD 4 will not meet the LOS requirements of the Lexington Park Development District. It should be noted that the proposed development has very little impact on the operation of these intersections, as noted below:

- AM Peak Hour: CLV increase of 19, an impact of 1.3%.
- PM Peak Hour: CLV increase of 9, an impact of 0.6%.

The results of the HCM analyses, presented on **Exhibit 9b**, show that the site access approaches at MD 235 will operate with LOS "C" or better. This indicates that each site access point can operate adequately as designed and analyzed in this report.

4.5 95th Percentile Queuing Analysis

MDOT SHA's 95th percentile queuing formula was utilized to analyze queuing at turning movements with dedicated storage space at the study intersections. The results of the queuing analyses are shown on **Exhibits 10a and 10b** for the background and total conditions, respectively. The analyses indicate that the existing storage length is exceeded for the eastbound left-turn and westbound left-turn at the intersection with MD 235 & MD 4 during the evening peak hour. The queue for the eastbound left-turn movement is not impacted by the proposed development and the queue for the westbound left-turn movement increases by less than 50 feet (less than 2 vehicles), indicating the proposed development has little impact on the queues at this intersection.

4.6 Recommended Mitigation

Section 70.6 of the CZO states that in cases where public facilities are not adequate, mitigation may be required from an applicant to ensure that adequate levels of public facilities will be put in place concurrent with the development. Mitigation is described to include one or more of the following:

- 1. Dedication of property to the County.
- 2. Additional or special impact fees.
- 3. Fees in lieu of an improvement.
- 4. Participation in necessary private/public partnerships to provide required public facilities.
- 5. Developer agreements.
- 6. Off-site improvements.
- 7. Other mechanisms as may be determined to provide adequate public facilities by the Planning Director or Planning Commission, as the approving authority.

No improvements were identified at the deficient intersections that would proportionally mitigate the negligible impacts of the proposed development. Based on the above language of the CZO, specifically item #3, a fee-in-lieu payment should be permitted to address the deficient public facilities rather than constructing physical improvements. A pro rata contribution to planned improvements at the intersection of MD 235 & FDR Boulevard is recommended.

In the St. Mary's County Priority Funding Letter for the FY 2024 Consolidated Transportation Program (CTP), the intersection of MD 235 & FDR Boulevard was identified for a full movement signalized intersection, as follows:

"A signalized full movement intersection at MD 235 and FDR Blvd would positively affect the MD 235 and MD 4 intersection level of service and create a safer junction for both pedestrian and vehicle traffic. This intersection improvement will give the north bound traffic along FDR Blvd and east bound traffic along MD 4 an additional option that helps mitigate the number of vehicles at the MD 4 and MD 235 intersection while also better serving the residential and business developments along the section of FDR Blvd between MD 4 and MD 235."

It is recommended that the proposed development contributes a pro rata payment to fund the traffic signal at MD 235 & FDR Boulevard based on the impact of the development on each of the existing intersections in the study network. Recent cost estimates have estimated the cost of new traffic signals to be approximately \$500,000. Similar to other projects in the area, the percentage of impact of the

proposed development is based on the percent increase from background to total conditions during the peak hour with the greatest impact. The chart below shows that the site contribution to the planned traffic signal at MD 235 & FDR Boulevard should be \$18,500.00.

Intersection	Percent Impact	Contribution (% x \$500,000)
MD 235 & MD 4	1.3%	
MD 235 & First Colony Boulevard	2.4%	
Total	3.7%	\$18,500.00

An analysis of the study intersections with assumed diversions due to the signalization of MD 235 & FDR Boulevard is provided in Appendix D. Exhibit D-1 shows the assumed diversions associated with the signalization. Exhibit D-2 shows the resulting total peak hour volumes with the assumed diversions. Exhibit D-3 provides CLV analyses for each study intersection with the diversions associated with the signalization. As shown, a traffic signal at MD 235 & FDR Boulevard will fully mitigate the impacts of the proposed development on the study intersections, specifically at the intersection of MD 235 & MD 4, which does not meet the LOS standards of St. Mary's County or MDOT SHA.

Trip Generation Rates

Automobile Sales - New (ksf, ITE-840)

Trip Distribution (In/Out)

Morning Trips = 1.86 x ksf Evening Trips = 1.81 x ksf + 20.91 73/27 40/60

Trip Generation Totals

				AM Peak			PM Peak	
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
LU Code 840	Automobile Sales - New (ksf, ITE-840)	47,538 sq.ft.	64	24	88	43	64	107
NOT	E: Trip Generation Rates obtained from the ITE Trip	Generation Manual, 11th Edition,	, since ther	e are no St	. Mary's Co	ounty Rate	es for this	use.
NOT	E: Trip Generation Rates obtained from the ITE Trip	Generation Manual, 11th Edition,	, since ther	e are no St	. Mary's Co	ounty Rate	es for this	use.
NOT		Generation Manual, 11th Edition,			-	-	es for this	use.
NOT	TE: Trip Generation Rates obtained from the ITE Trip Traffic Impact Analysis	Generation Manual, 11th Edition,		p Gene	eration	-	es for this	use.
NOT		Generation Manual, 11th Edition,		p Gene	-	-	es for this	
NOT	Traffic Impact Analysis	Generation Manual, 11th Edition,		p Gene	eration	-	es for this	







Morning Peak Hour	Existing	Background	Total
	CLV	CLV	CLV
1). MD 235 & MD 4	D / 1377	E / 1511	E / 1531
2). MD 235 & Site Access	N/A	N/A	A / 486
 3). MD 235 & Site Access 4). MD 235 & First Colony Blvd/Old Pine Ct 	N/A	N/A	A / 492
	C / 1157	C / 1213	C / 1216
Evening Peak Hour	Existing	Background	Total
	CLV	CLV	CLV
Evening Peak Hour 1). MD 235 & MD 4			
	CLV	CLV	CLV

Notes:

1. The CZO states that intersections located within the Lexington Park Development District must operate with LOS "D" or better.

Traffic Impact Analysis	Results of CLV	Exhibit
	Level-of-Service Analyses	9a
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com		74

Morning Peak Hour	Existing Delay	Background Delay	Total Delay
2). MD 235 & Site Access (Southbound Approach)	N/A	N/A	B / 11.5
3). MD 235 & Site Access (Southbound Approach)	N/A	N/A	B / 11.6
Evening Peak Hour	Existing Delay	Background Delay	Total Delay
2). MD 235 & Site Access (Southbound Approach)	N/A	N/A	C / 22.0
3). MD 235 & Site Access (Southbound Approach)	N/A	N/A	C / 22.0

HCM Level-of-Service Results

Notes:

- 1. Section 70.7.4b of the CZO states "an unsignalized analysis shall be utilized at intersections not programmed to be signalized at the time of study. The result of the analysis shall be to determine the proper lane usage at the intersection, and the need for a traffic signal warrant analysis."
- 2. The level of service reported for the overall intersection is based on Intersection Capacity Utilization.

Traffic Impact Analysis	Results of HCM Level-of-Service Analyses	Exhibit
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. 645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com		9b

<u>()</u>	Available Queue Length (ft)	Maximum Queue (ft)	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	590	228	186	0.6	210	3600	25	1.4
Eastbound Left	385	340	277	0.6	210	3600	25	1.4
<u>1)</u>	<u>Available Queue Length (ft)</u>	<u>Maximum Queue (ft)</u>	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	590	725	497	0.6	250	3600	25	1.4
Eastbound Left	385	671	460	0.6	250	3600	25	1.4
ny Blvd (AM)	Available Queue Length (ft)	Maximum Queue (ft)	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	440	97	79	0.6	210	3600	25	1.4
Eastbound Left	335	94	46	1	210	3600	25	1.4
ny Blvd (PM)	Available Queue Length (ft)	Maximum Queue (ft)	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	440	374	256	0.6	250	3600	25	1.4
<u> </u>	Eastbound Left	Eastbound Left 385 1) Available Queue Length (ft) Westbound Left 385 any Blvd (AM) Available Queue Length (ft) Westbound Left 335 any Blvd (PM) Available Queue Length (ft)	Eastbound Left 385 340 1) Available Queue Length (ft) Maximum Queue (ft) Westbound Left 590 725 Eastbound Left 385 671 ony Blvd (AM) Available Queue Length (ft) Maximum Queue (ft) Westbound Left 335 94 ony Blvd (PM) Available Queue Length (ft) Maximum Queue (ft)	Eastbound Left 385 340 277 D Available Queue Length (f) Maximum Queue (fc) Vehicles / Hour Westbound Left 590 725 497 Eastbound Left 385 671 460 ony Blvd (AM) Available Queue Length (ft) Maximum Queue (fc) Vehicles / Hour Westbound Left 335 94 46 ony Blvd (PM) Available Queue Length (ft) Maximum Queue (ft) Vehicles / Hour	Eastbound Left3853402770.6DAvailable Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorWestbound Left3856714600.6any Blvd (AM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorWestbound Left3856714600.6any Blvd (AM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorBistbound Left33594461any Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use Factor	Eastbound Left3853402770.6210OAvailable Queue Length (t)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCxcle Length (seconds)Westbound Left3856714600.6250Imp Blvd (AM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Imp Blvd (AM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Imp Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Imp Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Imp Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)	Eastbound Left3853402770.62103600OAvailable Queue Length (i)Maximum Queue (fc)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourWestbound Left3856714600.62503600Imy Blyd (AM)Available Queue Length (ii)Maximum Queue (fc)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourWestbound Left3856714600.62503600Imy Blyd (AM)Available Queue Length (iii)Maximum Queue (fc)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourBit d (PM)Available Queue Length (iii)Maximum Queue (fc)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourImy Blyd (PM)Available Queue Length (iii)Maximum Queue (fc)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourImy Blyd (PM)Available Queue Length (iii)Maximum Queue (fc)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / Hour	Eastbound Left3853402770.6210360025DAvailable Queue Length (t)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCxcle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / VehicleWestbound Left3856714600.6250360025Imy Blvd (AM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / VehicleImy Blvd (AM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / VehicleImy Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / VehicleImy Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / VehicleImy Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / VehicleImy Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / VehicleImy Blvd (PM)Available Queue Length (ft)Maximum Queue (ft)Vehicles / HourLane Use FactorCycle Length (seconds)Seconds / HourFeet / Vehicle

I. Lane Use Factor applied as follows: 1 indicates single turn lane, 0.6 indicates a double left turn lane, 0.4 indicates a triple left turn lane.
 Available queue lengths do not include available taper area that may be used for storage. Available queue's for double left turn lanes are based on the average storage of the two lanes combined.
 Maximum Queue (Ft) - Turning Volume (veh per hour) x Lane Use Factor x Cycle Length (Seconds) x 25 Feet/Vehicle x 1.4 Surge Factor

3600 (Seconds per hour)

LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.	Queuing Analyses	EXHIBIT
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com	Background Conditions	10a

<u>tt 1: MD 235 & MD 4 (AM)</u>	Available Queue Length (ft)	Maximum Queue (ft)	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	590	243	198	0.6	210	3600	25	1.4
Eastbound Left	385	340	277	0.6	210	3600	25	1.4
tt 1: MD 235 & MD 4 (PM)	<u>Available Queue Length (ft)</u>	Maximum Queue (ft)	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	590	772	529	0.6	250	3600	25	1.4
Eastbound Left	385	671	460	0.6	250	3600	25	1.4
t 4: MD 235 & First Colony Blvd (AM)	Available Queue Length (ft)	Maximum Queue (ft)	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	440	97	79	0.6	210	3600	25	1.4
Eastbound Left	335	184	90	1	210	3600	25	1.4
tt 4: MD 235 & First Colony Blvd (PM)	Available Queue Length (ft)	Maximum Queue (ft)	Vehicles / Hour	Lane Use Factor	Cycle Length (seconds)	Seconds / Hour	Feet / Vehicle	Surge Factor
Westbound Left	440	374	256	0.6	250	3600	25	1.4

I. Lane Use Factor applied as follows: 1 indicates single turn lane, 0.6 indicates a double left turn lane, 0.4 indicates a triple left turn lane.
 Available queue lengths do not include available taper area that may be used for storage. Available queue's for double left turn lanes are based on the average storage of the two lanes combined.
 Maximum Queue (Ft) - Turning Volume (veh per hour) x Lane Use Factor x Cycle Length (Seconds) x 25 Feet/Vehicle x 1.4 Surge Factor

3600 (Seconds per hour)

645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214	Queuing Analyses	EXHIBIT
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com	Total Conditions	10b

Section 5 Conclusions / Recommendations

5.1 **Results of Analysis**

This Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) has been prepared for the proposed CMAP Car Dealership to be located on the north side of MD 235, just east of the intersection with MD 4, in Lexington Park, Maryland. The development is proposed to 47,538 square feet of automobile dealership space.

Access to the site is proposed with two right-in/right-out only access points along MD 235, between MD 4 and First Colony boulevard.

Based on the analyses contained in this report:

- CLV/HCM analyses indicate that the intersection of MD 235 & MD 4 will not meet adequacy requirements of St. Mary's County or MDOT SHA. It is recommended that a pro rata contribution of \$18,500.00 is made to fund the planned traffic signal at MD 235 & FDR Boulevard in lieu of constructing physical improvements at this intersection.
- The site access points along MD 235 were found to operate acceptably based on the standards of St. Mary's County and MDOT SHA.
- 95th percentile queues were found to be contained within existing storage space at each of the study intersections with the exception of the east- and westbound left turns at MD 235 & MD 4. The contribution to the planned traffic signal should be considered as mitigation of the minimal queue impacts of the proposed development.

Based on the findings contained in this study, the proposed development will meet the requirements of St. Mary's County and MDOT SHA with the recommended fee-in-lieu contribution.

Supplemental Information Turning Movement Counts



	TYPE 'C' BUFFERYARI	D LANDSCAPE SCHEDULE				
14		PERTY ADJACENT TO RNC ZONED LANDS. T ES OF PLANTS LISTED BELOW.	HESE QUANTITIES CAN BE REDU	JCED WHERE EX	ISTING VEGETAT	FION INCLUDES
$(\land$	SHADE TREE(S)					
1	SYMBOL QTY.	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	REMARKS	LOCATION
5		ACER RUBRUM QUERCUS PHELLOS PLANTANUS X ACERIFOLIA	RED MAPLE WILLOW OAK BLOODGOOD LONDON	2 1/2-3" CAL 2 1/2-3" CAL	B&B B&B	BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD
	N/N	'BLOODGOOD'	PLANETREE	2 1/2-3" CAL	B&B	BUFFERYARD
\leq	TOTAL = 52					
	UNDERSTORY TREE(S	3)				
)		PYRUS CALLERYANA REDSPIRE LAGERSTROEMIA INDICA 'NATCHEZ' CERCIS CANADENIS CORNUS FLORIDA	REDSPIRE CALLERY PEAR NATCHEZ CRAPE MYRTLE EASTERN REDBUD FLOWERING DOGWOOD	2 1/2 - 3" 8' - 10' 2" - 2 1/2" 8' - 10'	8&B 8&B 8&B 8&B	BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD
	TOTAL = 74					
/	EVERGREEN TREE(S)					
2		PINUS NIGRA PINUS TAEDA ILEX X 'NELLIE R STEVENS'	AUSTRIAN PINE LOBLOLLY PINE NELLIE STEVENS HOLLY	6' - 7' 8' - 10' 4' - 5'	B&B B&B B&B	BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD
)	TOTAL = 147					
)	SHRUB(S)					
	00	ILEX OPACA BERBERIS THUNBERGII LEX GLABRA HAMEMELIS VIRGINIANA MYRICA PENNSYLVANICA	AMERICAN HOLLY RED BARBERRY INKBERRY WITCH HAZEL NORTHERN BAYBERRY	15" - 18" 12" - 15" 12" - 15" 12" - 15" 12" - 15" 12" - 15"	5 GAL 5 GAL 5 GAL 5 GAL 5 GAL	BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD BUFFERYARD
	TOTAL = 284					

101AL = 284

NOTE: IF ANY DISCREPANCIES OCCUR BETWEEN AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THE PLAN AND THE PLANT LIST, THE PLAN SHALL DICTATE. THE ABOVE PLANT TYPES MAY BE SUBSTITUTED BY THE OWNER AS DESIRED PROVIDED THEY ARE NATIVE SPECIES. 1 TREE AND 3 SHRUBS FROM MBIO#2 ARE PART OF THE TOTAL PLANTS INCLUDED WITHIN THIS BUFFERYARD.

PARKING AREA LANDSCAPE SCHEDULE:

105 PARKING SPACES REQUIRES 10 TREES

SHADE TREE(S)

TOTAL = 10

V----

L----

SHADE IN	EE(S)					
SYMBOL	QTY.	BOTANICAL NAME	COMMON NAME	SIZE	REMARKS	LOCATION
ORNAMEN	TALTREE((S)				
\mathcal{C}	2	PYRUS CALLERYANA REDSPIRE LAGERSTROEMIA INDICA 'NATCHEZ'	REDSPIRE CALLERY PEAR NATCHEZ CRAPE MYRTLE	2 1/2 - 3" 8' - 10'	B&B B&B	PARKING
0 3	3	CERCIS CANADENIS	EASTERN REDBUD	2" - 2 1/2"	B&B	PARKING
w		CORNUS FLORIDA	FLOWERING DOGWOOD	8' - 10'	B&B	PARKING

IF ANY DISCREPANCIES OCCUR BETWEEN AMOUNTS SHOWN IN THE PLAN AND THE PLANT LIST, THE PLAN SHALL DICTATE. NOTE: THE ABOVE PLANT TYPES MAY BE SUBSTITUTED BY THE OWNER AS DESIRED PROVIDED THEY ARE NATIVE SPECIES.

	LUGM #	
OF MAR	CONCEPT SITE & LANDSCAPE PLAN	SCALE
C OWNER/DEVELOPER INFORMATION CMA PROPERTIES, INC. F.O. BOX 7823 CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22906 PHONE: (434) 466-5254	HONDA & KIA DEALERSHIPS	$1"=\underline{40'}$ CONCEPT SWM E&S SHEET 2 SHEET 2 OF6
I hereby certify that these documents were prep or approved by me, and that I am a duly licens Professional Engineer under the Laws of the Stat Maryland, License No. 16422, Expiration Date 03/2	ed 8TH. ELECTION DISTRICT	PROJECT NO. HONDA

							We	ekday l	Morning	j Peak	Hour (6:	:30 am	ı - 9:30	am)							
			MD 4					MD 4					MD 235					MD 235			ĺ
		N	lorthbou	nd			Southbound Eastbound We				Vestbour	nd									
Time:	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	То
6:30-6:45	0	17	39	32	0	0	142	38	61	0	3	51	334	8	0	5	28	151	78	0	98
6:45-7:00	0	54	43	62	0	0	205	50	87	0	5	31	345	10	0	4	19	168	89	0	11
7:00-7:15	0	35	40	61	0	0	141	38	70	0	2	49	391	16	0	9	25	197	68	0	11
7:15-7:30	1	38	37	51	1	0	223	74	82	0	7	57	356	14	0	4	31	171	96	0	12
7:30-7:45	0	22	39	65	0	0	207	60	90	0	3	64	492	21	0	2	53	204	81	0	14
7:45-8:00	0	47	46	66	0	0	170	70	84	0	2	52	480	15	0	6	28	166	98	0	13
8:00-8:15	0	33	39	59	1	0	156	44	69	0	6	70	461	23	0	6	25	221	80	0	12
8:15-8:30	0	34	57	70	0	0	190	55	83	0	7	43	335	15	0	6	28	157	97	0	11
8:30-8:45	1	39	42	46	0	0	180	39	79	0	6	70	333	20	0	4	38	184	89	0	11
8:45-9:00	0	45	34	55	0	0	167	50	60	0	10	64	370	25	0	5	32	191	74	0	11
9:00-9:15	0	41	46	42	2	0	109	53	62	0	9	45	259	17	0	6	32	162	102	0	98
9:15-9:30	0	59	30	55	1	0	141	58	59	0	3	54	259	29	0	9	35	180	84	0	10
										Hourly T											
6:30-7:30	1	144	159	206	1	0	711	200	300	0	17	188	1426	48	0	22	103	687	331	0	45
6:45-7:45	1	149	159	239	1	0	776	222	329	0	17	201	1584	61	0	19	128	740	334	0	49
7:00-8:00	1	142	162	243	1	0	741	242	326	0	14	222	1719	66	0	21	137	738	343	0	51
7:15-8:15	1	140	161	241	2	0	756	248	325	0	18	243	1789	73	0	18	137	762	355	0	52
7:30-8:30	0	136	181	260	1	0	723	229	326	0	18	229	1768	74	0	20	134	748	356	0	52
7:45-8:45	1	153	184	241	1	0	696	208	315	0	21	235	1609	73	0	22	119	728	364	0	49
8:00-9:00	1	151	172	230	1	0	693	188	291	0	29	247	1499	83	0	21	123	753	340	0	48
8:15-9:15	1	159	179	213	2	0	646	197	284	0	32	222	1297	77	0	21	130	694	362	0	45
8:30-9:30	1	184	152	198	3	0	597	200	260	0	28	233	1221	91	0	24	137	717	349	0	43
AM			lorthbou					outhbou					Eastbour					Vestbour			-
Peak Hour	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	То
7:15-8:15	1	140	161	241	2	0	756	248	325	0	18	243	1789	73	0	18	137	762	355	0	52

							\		ay Ever	ning Pe	ak Hour	(4 pm)							
			MD 4					MD 4					MD 235					MD 235			
		N	orthbou	nd			Sc	outhbou	nd		Eastbound					Westbound					
Time:	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Tota
4:00-4:15	0	47	49	52	0	0	102	41	68	0	4	91	344	41	0	13	73	515	234	0	167
4:15-4:30	1	52	67	60	0	0	174	52	83	0	5	109	277	42	0	18	88	429	239	0	169
4:30-4:45	0	45	55	43	0	0	144	48	91	0	7	85	356	36	0	10	92	523	262	0	179
4:45-5:00	0	54	71	59	0	0	171	51	92	0	8	118	262	40	0	12	115	454	223	0	173
5:00-5:15	0	46	52	58	0	0	129	41	75	0	8	87	343	51	0	16	96	500	210	0	171
5:15-5:30	1	48	68	32	0	0	142	52	76	0	5	112	269	23	0	16	110	370	234	0	155
5:30-5:45	0	45	55	60	0	0	119	42	62	0	6	81	322	26	0	9	79	408	177	0	149
5:45-6:00	0	54	62	41	0	0	135	47	86	0	11	78	255	32	0	11	69	268	141	0	129
6:00-6:15	0	33	47	42	0	1	104	37	44	0	7	60	261	35	0	7	61	332	174	0	124
6:15-6:30	0	44	42	34	0	0	102	33	54	0	7	68	232	19	0	14	69	236	139	0	109
6:30-6:45	1	32	38	36	0	0	96	30	51	0	12	47	224	29	0	5	49	255	114	0	101
6:45-7:00	1	27	37	19	0	0	95	44	46	0	12	56	195	16	0	19	43	190	105	0	90
										Hourly T	otals										
4:00-5:00	1	198	242	214	0	0	591	192	334	0	24	403	1239	159	0	53	368	1921	958	0	689
4:15-5:15	1	197	245	220	0	0	618	192	341	0	28	399	1238	169	0	56	391	1906	934	0	693
4:30-5:30	1	193	246	192	0	0	586	192	334	0	28	402	1230	150	0	54	413	1847	929	0	679
4:45-5:45	1	193	246	209	0	0	561	186	305	0	27	398	1196	140	0	53	400	1732	844	0	649
5:00-6:00	1	193	237	191	0	0	525	182	299	0	30	358	1189	132	0	52	354	1546	762	0	605
5:15-6:15	1	180	232	175	0	1	500	178	268	0	29	331	1107	116	0	43	319	1378	726	0	558
5:30-6:30	0	176	206	177	0	1	460	159	246	0	31	287	1070	112	0	41	278	1244	631	0	511
5:45-6:45	1	163	189	153	0	1	437	147	235	0	37	253	972	115	0	37	248	1091	568	0	464
6:00-7:00	2	136	164	131	0	1	397	144	195	0	38	231	912	99	0	45	222	1013	532	0	426
PM		N	orthbou	nd			So	outhbou				E	Eastbour	d			v	Vestbour	nd		
Peak Hour	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Tot
4:15-5:15	1	197	245	220	0	0	618	192	341	0	28	399	1238	169	0	56	391	1906	934	0	693

Peak Hour	Intersection: MD 4 & MD 235
Turning Movement Count	Weather: Clear
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214	Count by: Count Cam DSS
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146	Count Day/Date: Wednesday, November 29, 2023
www.lenharttraffic.com	Jurisdiction: St. Marys County

) Peak	Hour (6	30 an		am)							1	
	First Colony Blvd Northbound					California Blvd Southbound					MD 235 Eastbound					MD 235 Westbound						
Time:	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Tot	
6:30-6:45	0	10	2	16	0	0	1	1	4	0	7	0	521	27	0	0	10	202	0	0	80	
6:45-7:00	0	13	1	23	0	0	4	1	0	0	4	0	580	35	0	2	13	235	2	0	91	
7:00-7:15	0	2	0	32	0	0	2	0	4	0	7	0	534	33	0	0	20	270	1	0	90	
7:15-7:30	0	16	0	23	0	0	2	1	1	0	5	0	662	35	0	2	16	256	1	0	10	
7:30-7:45	0	20	0	23	0	0	4	3	2	0	9	2	650	39	0	0	22	296	0	0	10	
7:45-8:00	0	17	0	36	0	0	1	0	1	0	9	0	671	56	0	0	13	273	0	0	10	
8:00-8:15	0	15	0	37	0	0	2	1	0	0	19	1	657	56	0	1	23	253	2	0	10	
8:15-8:30	0	18	0	37	0	0	6	0	0	0	8	0	547	53	0	0	22	249	1	0	94	
8:30-8:45	0	22	1	30	0	0	2	0	2	0	9	2	478	53	0	0	25	259	0	0	88	
8:45-9:00	0	26	0	39	0	0	1	1	2	0	13	0	479	65	0	1	48	252	0	0	92	
9:00-9:15	0	24	0	34	0	0	4	0	3	0	6	0	419	51	0	3	42	231	1	0	8	
9:15-9:30	0	28	1	32	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	401	63	0	0	38	259	0	0	82	
										Hourly T	1										r	
6:30-7:30	0	41	3	94	0	0	9	3	9	0	23	0	2297	130	0	4	59	963	4	0	36	
6:45-7:45	0	51	1	101	0	0	12	5	7	0	25	2	2426	142	0	4	71	1057	4	0	39	
7:00-8:00	0	55	0	114	0	0	9	4	8	0	30	2	2517	163	0	2	71	1095	2	0	40	
7:15-8:15	0	68	0	119	0	0	9	5	4	0	42	3	2640	186	0	3	74	1078	3	0	42	
7:30-8:30	0	70	0	133	0	0	13	4	3	0	45	3	2525	204	0	1	80	1071	3	0	41	
7:45-8:45	0	72	1	140	0	0	11	1	3	0	45	3	2353	218	0	1	83	1034	3	0	39	
8:00-9:00	0	81	1	143	0	0	11	2	4	0	49	3	2161	227	0	2	118	1013	3	0	38	
8:15-9:15	0	90	1	140	0	0	13	1	7	0	36	2	1923	222	0	4	137	991	2	0	35	
8:30-9:30	0	100	2	135	0	0	7	1	9	0	33	2	1777	232	0	4	153	1001	1	0	34	
AM		N	lorthbou	nd		Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound						
Peak Hour	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	То	
7:15-8:15	0	68	0	119	0	0	9	5	4	0	42	3	2640	186	0	3	74	1078	3	0	42	

								Weekda	ay Ever	ning Pe	ak Hour	· (4 pm	1 - 7 pm)]
	First Colony Blvd					California Blvd					MD 235					MD 235					
	Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound					Westbound					
Time:	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Tota
4:00-4:15	0	60	2	33	0	0	2	2	3	0	12	1	398	85	0	2	64	720	5	0	1389
4:15-4:30	0	64	1	34	0	0	1	0	5	0	16	1	352	74	0	2	59	623	2	0	123
4:30-4:45	0	54	2	41	0	0	3	2	0	0	17	0	394	66	0	0	55	702	2	0	133
4:45-5:00	0	60	1	31	0	0	1	1	5	2	22	2	376	69	0	0	66	564	1	0	119
5:00-5:15	0	60	0	42	0	0	1	2	2	0	23	0	406	83	0	0	56	604	1	0	128
5:15-5:30	0	61	1	31	0	0	0	0	2	0	19	0	387	64	0	0	66	574	3	0	120
5:30-5:45	0	67	0	31	0	0	1	3	3	0	15	1	413	74	0	0	53	504	3	0	116
5:45-6:00	0	59	1	37	0	0	2	1	1	0	18	0	306	63	0	3	62	427	1	0	981
6:00-6:15	0	44	1	31	0	0	1	1	1	0	12	2	364	73	0	1	51	452	2	0	103
6:15-6:30	1	53	1	37	0	0	0	0	4	0	8	1	292	54	0	1	42	385	2	0	88
6:30-6:45	0	53	0	21	0	0	2	1	1	0	12	2	272	69	0	1	37	301	2	0	774
6:45-7:00	0	44	0	22	0	0	0	0	1	0	13	0	304	59	0	1	40	286	1	0	771
										Hourly T	otals										
4:00-5:00	0	238	6	139	0	0	7	5	13	2	67	4	1520	294	0	4	244	2609	10	0	516
4:15-5:15	0	238	4	148	0	0	6	5	12	2	78	3	1528	292	0	2	236	2493	6	0	505
4:30-5:30	0	235	4	145	0	0	5	5	9	2	81	2	1563	282	0	0	243	2444	7	0	502
4:45-5:45	0	248	2	135	0	0	3	6	12	2	79	3	1582	290	0	0	241	2246	8	0	485
5:00-6:00	0	247	2	141	0	0	4	6	8	0	75	1	1512	284	0	3	237	2109	8	0	463
5:15-6:15	0	231	3	130	0	0	4	5	7	0	64	3	1470	274	0	4	232	1957	9	0	439
5:30-6:30	1	223	3	136	0	0	4	5	9	0	53	4	1375	264	0	5	208	1768	8	0	406
5:45-6:45	1	209	3	126	0	0	5	3	7	0	50	5	1234	259	0	6	192	1565	7	0	367
6:00-7:00	1	194	2	111	0	0	3	2	7	0	45	5	1232	255	0	4	170	1424	7	0	346
PM	Northbound Southbound								astbour	d		Westbound									
Peak Hour	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	U-Turn	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Tot
4:00-5:00	0	238	6	139	0	0	7	5	13	2	67	4	1520	294	0	4	244	2609	10	0	516

Peak Hour	Intersection: MD 235 & First Colony Blvd						
Turning Movement Count	Weather: Clear						
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.	Count by: Count Cam DSS						
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214	Count Day/Date: Wednesday, April 3, 2024						
SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com	Jurisdiction: St. Mary's County						

Critical Lane Volume (LOS) Worksheets
















	≯	+	Ļ	*	1	~				
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR				
Lane Configurations		1111	tttp-			*				
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	2999	1547	32	0	12				
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	2999	1547	32	0	12				
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop					
Grade		0%	0%		0%					
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92				
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	3260	1682	35	0	13				
Pedestrians										
Lane Width (ft)										
Walking Speed (ft/s)										
Percent Blockage										
Right turn flare (veh)										
Median type		None	None							
Median storage veh)										
Upstream signal (ft)		521								
pX, platoon unblocked					0.63					
vC, conflicting volume	1717				2514	438				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol										
vC2, stage 2 conf vol										
vCu, unblocked vol	1717				431	438				
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9				
tC, 2 stage (s)										
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3				
p0 queue free %	100				100	98				
cM capacity (veh/h)	365				346	567				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	EB 4	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	WB 4	SB 1	
Volume Total	815	815	815	815	481	481	481	275	13	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	13	
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	567	
Volume to Capacity	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.16	0.02	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	
Lane LOS									В	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0				0.0				11.5	
Approach LOS									В	
Intersection Summary										
Average Delay			0.0							
Intersection Capacity Utilization										
Analysis Period (min)	n		46.8% 15	IC	U Level o	of Service			А	

	٠	+	↓	*	1	~				
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR				
Lane Configurations		1111	4tttp			*				
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	2999	1567	32	0	12				
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	2999	1567	32	0	12				
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop					
Grade		0%	0%		0%					
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92				
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	3260	1703	35	0	13				
Pedestrians										
Lane Width (ft)										
Walking Speed (ft/s)										
Percent Blockage										
Right turn flare (veh)										
Median type		None	None							
Median storage veh)										
Upstream signal (ft)		812								
pX, platoon unblocked					0.63					
vC, conflicting volume	1738				2536	443				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol										
vC2, stage 2 conf vol										
vCu, unblocked vol	1738				543	443				
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9				
tC, 2 stage (s)										
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3				
p0 queue free %	100				100	98				
cM capacity (veh/h)	358				298	562				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	EB 4	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	WB 4	SB 1	
Volume Total	815	815	815	815	487	487	487	278	13	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	13	
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	562	
Volume to Capacity	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.16	0.02	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.6	
Lane LOS									В	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0				0.0				11.6	
Approach LOS									В	
Intersection Summary										
Average Delay			0.0							
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		46.8%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			А	
Analysis Period (min)			15							

	٠	+	Ļ	•	1	~				
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR				
Lane Configurations		1111	4111			1				
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	2475	3590	21	0	32				
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	2475	3590	21	0	32				
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop					
Grade		0%	0%		0%					
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92				
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	2690	3902	23	0	35				
Pedestrians										
Lane Width (ft)										
Walking Speed (ft/s)										
Percent Blockage										
Right turn flare (veh)										
Median type		None	None							
Median storage veh)										
Upstream signal (ft)		521								
pX, platoon unblocked					0.80					
vC, conflicting volume	3925				4586	987				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol										
vC2, stage 2 conf vol										
vCu, unblocked vol	3925				4236	987				
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9				
tC, 2 stage (s)										
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3				
p0 queue free %	100				100	86				
cM capacity (veh/h)	47				1	246				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	EB 4	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	WB 4	SB 1	
Volume Total	672	672	672	672	1115	1115	1115	580	35	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	35	
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	246	
Volume to Capacity	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.34	0.14	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	
Lane LOS									С	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0				0.0				22.0	
Approach LOS									С	
Intersection Summary										
Average Delay			0.1							
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		62.4%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			В	
Analysis Period (min)			15							

	٠	+	Ļ	•	1	~				
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR				
Lane Configurations		1111	tttp-			1				
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	2475	3590	22	0	32				
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	2475	3590	22	0	32				
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop					
Grade		0%	0%		0%					
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92				
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	2690	3902	24	0	35				
Pedestrians										
Lane Width (ft)										
Walking Speed (ft/s)										
Percent Blockage										
Right turn flare (veh)										
Median type		None	None							
Median storage veh)										
Upstream signal (ft)		812								
pX, platoon unblocked					0.81					
vC, conflicting volume	3926				4586	988				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol										
vC2, stage 2 conf vol										
vCu, unblocked vol	3926				4254	988				
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9				
tC, 2 stage (s)										
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3				
p0 queue free %	100				100	86				
cM capacity (veh/h)	47				1	246				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	EB 4	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	WB 4	SB 1	
Volume Total	672	672	672	672	1115	1115	1115	581	35	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	35	
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	246	
Volume to Capacity	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.34	0.14	
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	
Lane LOS									С	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0				0.0				22.0	
Approach LOS									С	
Intersection Summary										
Average Delay			0.1							
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		62.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service			В	
Analysis Period (min)			15							

Background Developments



Trip Generation Rates

Apartment (St. Mary's County Rates, Units)	Trip Distribution (In/Out)
Morning Trips = 0.5	51 x Units 18/82
Evening Trips = 0.6	68/32 68/32
Fast Casual Restaurant (ITE-930, ksf)	Trip Distribution (In/Out)
Morning Trips = 1.4	I3 x ksf 50/50
Evening Trips = 12	.55 x ksf 55/45
Automated Car Wash (ITE-948, ksf)	Trip Distribution (In/Out)
Morning Trips = N//	A N/A
Evening Trips = 14	.20 x ksf 50/50

Trip Generation Totals

					AM Peak			PM Peak	
				In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
1	First Colony	Apartment (St. Mary's County Rates, Units)	233 units	21	98	119	100	47	147
	Apartments	Fast Casual Restaurant (ITE-930, ksf)	5,000 sq.ft.	3	4	7	35	28	63
2	Magic Tunnel	Automated Car Wash (ITE-948, ksf)	7,200 sq.ft.	0	0	0	51	51	102
3	Old Rolling Hills	Apartment (St. Mary's County Rates, Units)	568 units	52	238	290	243	115	358

NOTE: Trip Generation Rates obtained from the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, since there are no St. Mary's County Rates for this use.

Traffic Impact Analysis	Trip Generation for Background Developments 1-3	Exhibit
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC. 645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com	Background Developments 1-5	C-2







Trip Generation Rates

Automated Car Wash (Car Wash Tunnels, ITE-948)

Morning Trips = N/A [See Note 2] Evening Trips = 77.50 x Car Wash Tunnels Daily Trips = N/A [See Note 3] Trip Distribution (In/Out)

N/A 50/50

Trip Generation Totals

				AM Peak			PM Peak		Daily
			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Trips
LU Code 948	Automated Car Wash (Car Wash Tunnels, ITE-948)	1 tunnel	21	22	43	39	39	78	780
		Primary Trip Generation Totals:	21	22	43	39	39	78	780
NOTE	S: 1. Trip Generation Rates obtained from the ITE Trip Generation	ation Manual, 11th Edition							
NOTE	 S: 1. Trip Generation Rates obtained from the ITE Trip Generation. 2. ITE does not provide trip generation rates for the morning generates 5.5% of daily trips and the evening peak hour generated during the morning peak. 	ng peak hour of ITE-948. Based on ITE c enerates 10.0% of daily trips. As such, it	is assum	ed that 55%	6 of the trip	s generat	ted during		
NOTE	2. ITE does not provide trip generation rates for the mornin generates 5.5% of daily trips and the evening peak hour ge	ng peak hour of ITE-948. Based on ITE c enerates 10.0% of daily trips. As such, it < hour. A 50/50 in/out directional distribu	is assum ition was	ed that 55% assumed fo	6 of the trip or the morr	s generat ning peak	ted during hour.	the	
NOTE	2. ITE does not provide trip generation rates for the mornin generates 5.5% of daily trips and the evening peak hour ge evening peak hour are generated during the morning peak	ng peak hour of ITE-948. Based on ITE c enerates 10.0% of daily trips. As such, it k hour. A 50/50 in/out directional distribu 948. Based on ITE diurnal percentages f	is assum ition was or ITE-948	ed that 55% assumed fo 3, 10.0% of	6 of the trip or the morr daily trips	es generat ning peak are gener	ted during hour.	the	
NOTE	 2. ITE does not provide trip generation rates for the mornin generates 5.5% of daily trips and the evening peak hour ge evening peak hour are generated during the morning peak 3. ITE does not provide daily trip generation rates for ITE-S 	ng peak hour of ITE-948. Based on ITE c enerates 10.0% of daily trips. As such, it k hour. A 50/50 in/out directional distribu 948. Based on ITE diurnal percentages f	is assum ition was or ITE-948	ed that 55% assumed fo 3, 10.0% of	6 of the trip or the morr daily trips	es generat ning peak are gener	ted during hour.	the	
NOTE	 2. ITE does not provide trip generation rates for the mornin generates 5.5% of daily trips and the evening peak hour ge evening peak hour are generated during the morning peak 3. ITE does not provide daily trip generation rates for ITE-S evening peak hour. As such, it is assumed that the daily trip 	ng peak hour of ITE-948. Based on ITE c enerates 10.0% of daily trips. As such, it k hour. A 50/50 in/out directional distribu 948. Based on ITE diurnal percentages f ips generated are 10 times the trips gen	is assum ution was or ITE-948 herated du	ed that 55% assumed fo 3, 10.0% of	6 of the trip or the morr daily trips ening peak	s generat ning peak are gener t hour.	ted during hour.	the	
NOTE	 2. ITE does not provide trip generation rates for the mornin generates 5.5% of daily trips and the evening peak hour ge evening peak hour are generated during the morning peak 3. ITE does not provide daily trip generation rates for ITE-S 	ng peak hour of ITE-948. Based on ITE c enerates 10.0% of daily trips. As such, it k hour. A 50/50 in/out directional distribu 948. Based on ITE diurnal percentages f rips generated are 10 times the trips gen	is assum ution was or ITE-948 herated du Trip (ed that 55% assumed fo 3, 10.0% of iring the ev	6 of the trip or the morr daily trips ening peak tion fo	ns generat ning peak are gener t hour.	ted during hour.	the	xhibi





Trip Generation Rates

 Condo/Townhouse (Units, St. Mary's County CZO)
 Trip Distribution (In/Out)

 Morning Trips = 0.44 x units
 16/84

 Evening Trips = 0.55 x units
 65/35

 Daily Trips = 5.86 x units
 65/35

Trip Generation Totals

		AM Peak			PM Peak			Daily
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Trips
Condo/Townhouse (Units, St. Mary's County CZO)	43 units	3	16	19	16	8	24	252
Primary	Trip Generation Totals:	3	16	19	16	8	24	252
NOTES: 1. Trip Generation Rates obtained from the St. Mary's County Compr	ehensive Zoning Ordina	nce						
NOTES: 1. Trip Generation Rates obtained from the St. Mary's County Compr	ehensive Zoning Ordina	nce						
NOTES: 1. Trip Generation Rates obtained from the St. Mary's County Compr	ehensive Zoning Ordina	nce						
NOTES: 1. Trip Generation Rates obtained from the St. Mary's County Compr	ehensive Zoning Ordina	nce						
	ehensive Zoning Ordina		Gener	ation	for			
NOTES: 1. Trip Generation Rates obtained from the St. Mary's County Compr Traffic Impact Analysis		Trip	Gener side To				E	xhibi







Diversions Due to Signalization of MD 235 & FDR Boulevard





CLV Level-of-Service Results

Morning Peak Hour	Background CLV	Total CLV	Total CLV with Diversion
1). MD 235 & MD 4 2). MD 235 & Site Access	E / 1511 N/A	E / 1531 A / 486	E / 1494 A / 484
3). MD 235 & Site Access 4). MD 235 & Site Access 4). MD 235 & First Colony Blvd/Old Pine Ct	N/A N/A C / 1213	A / 486 A / 492 C / 1216	A / 484 A / 490 C / 1212
Evening Peak Hour	Background CLV	Total CLV	Total CLV with Diversion
Evening Peak Hour 1). MD 235 & MD 4			with
	CLV	CLV	with Diversion

Notes:

1. The CZO states that intersections located within the Lexington Park Development District must operate with LOS "D" or better.

Traffic Impact Analysis	Results of CLV LOS Analyses with Diversions	Exhibit
LENHART TRAFFIC CONSULTING, INC.		D-3
645 BALTIMORE ANNAPOLIS BLVD, SUITE 214 SEVERNA PARK, MD 21146 www.lenharttraffic.com		D- 3







